MINIORANDOM FOR EXECUTIVE CONSTITUE NSC

SUBJECT: Megotiations

- 1. Khrushchev's letter is more likely, in our view, to reflect a decision to disengage from offensive weapons in Cuba, saving as much face as possible, then to represent a tactical maneuver or gimmick.
- 2. In a larger sense, this decision might portend a major shift in Soviet policy. A few months ago we had said that Khrushchev was reaching a point in his post-Sputnik offensive where, if he could not score an early success, he would probably seek some relief from the pressures which that offensive had placed on him. We had anticipated that he might seek such a success in either Cuba, Berlin, or waspons development. We had also anticipated that, if we stood firm and denied him that success, the chances would be increased of his seeking relief from these pressures through relaxation.
- 3. Against this background, our posture in the present crisis should be designed to:
- (a) make clear that the Cuban issue must be settled by the prompt elimination of Soviet offensive weapons, and without any offsetting concessions other than pure atmospherics, e.g., a Latin American nuclear-free zone;
- (b) make equally clear that we are prepared to undertake immediate negotiations with the USSR on arms control and other issues, that we are ready to go to a Summit on these matters as soon as Cuba is out of the way, and that we would be willing to concert now about the specific items to be taken up at a Summit even while Cuba was being got out of the way.
- 4. A memorandum is attached which outlines the approach that might be made to a Summit meeting under the strategy discussed above. Its substantive treatment of the issues to be taken up at a Summit is the same as that contained in the October 26 paper on "Negotiations" which was co-ordinated with the interested regional Bureaus and ACDA.

W. H. Rostow

SECRET

October 27, 1962

MEMORARDUM FOR MR. BUNDY

SUBJECT: Negotiation

A few days ago you asked us to prepare a paper on negotiation and Summitry.

We prepared one, discussed it October 26 with the interested Bureaus and ACDA, and then revised it to reflect their comments.

This morning we revised it again. The result is attached. The substantive treatment of the subjects for negotiation is the same as that in the October 26 draft, but the introduction and conclusion have been altered to focus more clearly on the optimum assumption made in the October 26 pper, i.e., that the Cuban crisis was resolved before a high level broad US-Soviet negotiation.

October 27, 1962

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Negotiation

- 1. Assuming the optimum setting posited in the October 26 paper on "Negotiation"--i.e., that Soviet offensive weapons were removed from Cuba before a major U.S.-Soviet negotiation--it would still seem desirable quickly to proceed to such a negotiation at the Summit.
- 2. Khrushchev had in recent months given us a number of reasons to believe that he might be at a cross-roads in policy. The Cuban adventure represented his attempt to explore the "hard fork" in the road. Having been rebuffed in this attempt, he might well conclude that his best chance of making world history in his remaining term lay in exploring the alternative option with characteristic vigor. Proceeding to a Summit might both encourage him in such a disposition and permit us to draw some early profit from it.
 - 3. The agenda

SECRET EYES CNLY B-1

_ 🤿

Win.

73. The agenda for a Summit would be the same as that posited in the October 26 paper:

(a) Arms Control.

- (1) US and Soviet non-diffusion declarations;
- (ii) US and Soviet declarations of intent not to facilitate procurement of MRBM's for land deployment in NATO and Warsaw Pact countries other than US and USSR, Such deployment is not in US interest, in either Western Europe or the Satellites. The US would only enter into such an exchange of eclarations, however, if full allied consultations did not surface objection. The US would base its declaration on the previously stated US policy only to facilitate MRBM procurement for a multilateral sea-based force. Concurrently, we might press ahead with the small pilot NATO Southern Command multilateral seaborne force (Italians, Turks, Greeks, US, and perhaps Canadians) proposed by Ambassador Pinletter in Polto 506 as an earnest of our intent to provide allied participation in MRBM deployment via the sea-based route.
- (111) Safeguards against war by miscalculation which are already in the US disarmament position, e.g., exchange

of US and

of US and Soviet military observation teams, direct communication facilities between US and Soviet national command centers, etc. Agreement on these items would seem particularly appropriate in the wake of a major crisis.

- (iv) Review of key differences of approach in the Geneva Disarmament and Test Ban negotiations, to determine whether any useful new directive can be given to the US and Soviet negotiators. Arms control might be the more important in a period after the Cuban crais, since such a period would probably be marked by feverish Soviet attempts to redress the nuclear imbalance which had been so evident and so damaging to the USSR during that crisis.
- was non-negotiable, but that we were prepared to reach a "Solution C" type agreement, which did not purport to be a final Berlin settlement but which put the matter on ice and allowed East Germans to substitute for the Soviete in access functions. This could be dressed up in various ways UN presence, no nuclear arms in Berlin, etc. for face-saving purposes

B-1

- 4 .

.

purposes. If the Soviets were interested, this metter could be pursued further at the foreign minister level with other parties concerned.

- (c) Germany. We might suggest to the Soviets that feasible aspects of the German question (on which we have consulted with our allies) wixed commissions to increase inter-German contacts, and declarations regarding non-use of force to change the demarcation line and change the external frontiers might also be pursued further at the foreign 'nister level with other interested parties.
- (d) <u>Future Summitry</u>. It might be agreed that the heads of the UK and Prench governments would be invited to meet in a future quadripartite Summit, to review any work done at the foreign minister level on Berlin and Germany and to consider new topics, e.g., NATO and Warsaw Pact non-aggression declarations, which might be more appropriately handled in a more relaxed atmosphere than the present crisis.
- 4. It is just barely possible that a vigorous attempt to do business with Khrushchev on these items, surfacing any agreed

held in reserve (e.g., East German "substitution") and limiting the agends to proposals which are not patently non-negotiable, could achieve a measure of success.

If so, such a meeting-in the wake of a successful firm stand on Cuba--might conceivably mark a turning point of sorts in the cold war. It might register some immediate--if limited--success, be followed by more productive talks at the foreign minister level than have hitherto been possible, and presibly lead to one or more quadripartite Summits at which further progress could be made.

5. If this course commends itself, we should proceed to immediate consultation with our silies.

B-1

EXCISED COPY FOLLOWS

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. BUMDY

SUBJECT: Negotiation

A few days ago you asked us to prepare a paper on negotiation and Summitry.

We prepared one, discussed it October 26 with the interested Bureaus and ACDA, and then revised it to reflect their comments.

This morning we revised it again. The result is attached. The substantive treatment of the subjects for negotiation is the same as that in the October 26 draft, but the introduction and conclusion have been altered to focus more clearly on the optimum assumption made in the October 26 pper, i.e., that the Cuban crisis was resolved before a light level broad US-Soviet negotiation.

SECRET EYES ONLY

アデン

8-1

SECRET EYES ONLY B-1

REBROODLICED AT GOVERNMENT EXPERSE

3

B-1

. .

B

REBENCODICCHED ACT CONVERNMENT ENFERMENT

. 5

ジイン

B-1

HARMONICORD AT GOVERNMENT BRENSE

EXCISED COPY FOLLOWS

BIA5
BIA5
BIA5
BIA5
Bigging States
Bigging States
Beginning of States

October 27, 1962

TO: S/AL Ambassador Thompson

ACDA - Mr. Foster

MEA - Mr. Talbot

IO - Mr. Cleveland

L - Mr. Chayes

ARA - Mr. Martin

EUR - Mr. Tyler

Subject: Negotiations (Paper from S/P - Mr. Rostow)

Attached is a copy of a Secret - Five Only senorandum for the Executive Countities of the MEC done by S/F - Wr. Restow.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE ACCOMB

REVIEWED by DATE 4-6-88

() ZELLEE () ZELASSIFY in PART
() DEFA. () Hon-responding into (b) (s)

FOI. LC or PA exemptions (b) (s)

() CLASSIFY 13 () Sor () C, OADR

() DOWNGRADE TS to () Sor () C, OADR

Williams. Brobook Ensoutive Secretary

·

Attachment

HEMORANDUM FOR ESECUTIVE CHERTIES NOC.

SUBJECT: Negotiations

EYES ONLY

3. Against this background, our posture in the present crisis should be designed to:

(a) make clear that the Cuban issue must be settled by the prompt elimination of Soviet offensive weapons,

(b)

4. A memorandum is attached which outlines the approach that might be made to a Summit meeting under the strategy discussed above.

. (Letter Springston - 712

- ACDA

NE

To

<u>ي غاديب د</u>

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Negotiation

1. Assuming the optimum setting posited in the October 26 paper on "Negotiation" -- i.e., that Soviet offensive weapons were removed from Cube before a major U.S.-Soviet negotiation -- it would still seem desirable quickly to proceed to such a negotiation at the Summit.

2.

人的自己

3. The agenda

Sedest.

3. The agenda for a Summit would be the same as that posited in the October 26 paper:

(a) Arms Control.

- (1) US and Soviet non-diffusion declarations;
- (ii) US and Soviet declarations of intent not to facilitate procurement of MERM's for land deployment in NATO and Warsaw Pact countries other than US and USSR.

declarations, however, if full allied consultations did not surface objection. The US would bese its declaration on the previously stated US policy only to facilitate MREM procurement for a multilateral see-based force. Concurrently, we might press shead with the small pilot NATO Southern Command multilateral seaborne force (Italians, Turks, Greeks, US, and perhaps Canadians) proposed by Ambassador Finletter in Polto 506 as an extrest of our intent to provide allied participation in MREM deployment via the sea-based route,

(iii) Safeguards against war by miscalculation (b) () (a)(5)

e.g., exchange (b)(A)

of US and

SECRET - ELES ONLY

nication facilities between US and Soviet national command

(iv) Review of key differences of approach in the Geneva Disarmament and Test San negotiations, to determine whether any useful new directive can be given to the US and Soviet negotiators.

(b) Berlin. We would make clear that the troop issue was non-negotiable, but that we were prepared to reach a "Solution C" type agreement, which did not purport to be a final Berlin settlement but which put the matter on ice and allowed East Germans to substitute for the Soviets in access functions. This could be dressed up in various ways - UN presence, no muclear arms in Berlin, etc. - for face-saving

barboses

SECRET TO EXEL CITY

purposes. If the Soviets were interested, this matter could be pursued further at the foreign minister level with other parties concerned.

(c) Germany. We might suggest to the Soviets that fedsible aspects of the German question

mixed commissions to increase (b)(1/4)(5)

inter-German contacts, and declarations regarding non-use of force to change the demarcation line and change the external frontiers - might also be pursued further at the foreign minister level with other interested parties.

of the UK and French governments would be invited to meet in a future quadripertite Summit, to review any work done at the foreign minister level on Serlin and Germany and to consider new topics, e.g., NATO and Warsaw Pact non-aggression declarations, which might be more appropriately handled in a more relaxed atmosphere than the present crisis.

۵.

(b)(kals)

SECRET - EIES ONLY

5. If this course commands itself, we should proceed to immediate consultation with our allies.